

UNITED STATES PARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE 1066-99 GILCHRIST 11/30/99 09/424,811 **EXAMINER** IM71/0529 HOFFMANN, J CHARLES N QUINN SAUL, EWING, REMICK & SAUL, LLP PAPER NUMBER ART UNIT CENTRE SQUARE WEST 1731 1500 MARKET STREET, 38TH FLOOR PHILADELPHIA PA 19102-2186 DATE MAILED: 05/29/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/424,811	GILCHRIST ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	John Hoffmann	1731
The MAILING DATE of this communicat	ion appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address
ried for Renly		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communi - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) or if NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statut Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will Any reply received by the Office later than three months after earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATION. 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may ication. 1ays, a reply within the statutory minimum of the company of the	a reply be timely filed nirty (30) days will be considered timely. DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ARANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed	d on <u>23 June 2000</u> .	
2ον This action is FINΔ 1 2t	o)⊠ This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for closed in accordance with the practice	for allowance except for formal n ce under <i>Ex parte Quayl</i> e, 1935	natters, prosecution as to the merits is C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
isposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the a	pplication.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are	e withdrawn from consideration.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claims are subject to restrict	ion and/or election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the	e Examiner.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are	objected to by the Examiner.	
11) The proposed drawing correction file	d on is: a)□ approved I	o) disapproved.
12) The oath or declaration is objected to	by the Examiner.	
Dejority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim	for foreign priority under 35 U.S	.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ⊠ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of:		
1 Certified copies of the priority	documents have been received	
2 Codified copies of the priority	documents have been received	in Application No
3. Copies of the certified copies	of the priority documents have b	een received in this National Stage
* See the attached detailed Office action	U tot a list of the certified cobics	11001000111
14) Acknowledgement is made of a clai	m for domestic phonty under 33	C.C.C. 3(*)
Attachment(s)	1 <u>8</u> \	erview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).
 15) ∑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 16) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review 17) ∑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) 	(PTO-948) 19) No	tice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 09/424,811

Art Unit: 1731

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gilchrist 5470585 in view of Loewenstein (The Manufacturing Technology of Continuous Glass Fibres, 1983) and Tooley (Handbook of Glass Manufacture, 1954).

Gilchrist disclose the invention of fibers of dissolvable fibers - see claims 1 and 3. The secondary references are cited that it is well known to create glass products by heating raw materials at very high temperatures and then cooling the glass down before forming glass. High temperatures are used because homogenization and reduction of stones occurs much faster at higher temperatures - mostly because the glass is much more fluid, but also because higher temperatures and better kinetics usually go hand-in-hand. But glass that is too fluid to form into glass must be cooled to the appropriate viscosity for forming. See especially Loewenstein, page 32, lines 3-4 and figure IV/29 at page 103 and Tooley, page, 243, col. 1, lines 25-31; p 254, fig IX B, 23; p 256, second full paragraph; and page 283, fig IX D.1.

It would have been obvious to create the Gilchrist fibers by heating the starting materials to a temperature, so as to form the molten glass as quickly as possible and then cool it to a temperature to form the fibers - because the higher the temperature, the

Application/Control Number: 09/424,811

Art Unit: 1731

faster the glass is homogenized, which means the more glass that can be made in a day's time.

As to claim 2, 'slowly' is a relative term. It would have been obvious to cool the glass at a rate slower than that achieved by pouring molten glass into liquid nitrogen, because it takes quite an effort and expense to cause glass to cool that quickly.

As to claims 3-4, it would have been obvious to perform routine experimentation to determine the optimal temperature for forming the fibers - if the temperature is too, high, the glass would flow like water out of the bushing. If the temperature is too low, the glass would be to viscous to flow through the bushing. Alternatively, one can arbitrarily choose any of the temperatures at which the molten glass is at to be the "working temperature, so that it is in the 50-300 range. For example, one can arbitrarily choose the "working temperature" to be Tg + 250; the "working temperature" is defined broadly - if at all. It is noted that the claims do not require holding the glass at the "working temperature". One can deem that the cooling to Tg is part of the claimed "processing". Applicant's disclosed "processing" would inherently require cooling to room temperature.

Claim 5: See Gilchrist, col. 3, lines 45-46.

Claim 6: See Gilchrist, col. 3, lines 63-65.

Claim 7-8: See Gilchrist, col. 3, lines 60-62.

Claims 9-20 are clearly met. Claim 10 see claim 7 of Gilchrist.

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 09/424,811

Art Unit: 1731

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The other Gilchrist patents are cited as being of general interest.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John Hoffmann whose telephone number is 703-308-0469. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday, Tuesday, Wednesday, Thursday, Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stan Silverman can be reached on 703-308-3837. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305-7115 for regular communications and 703-305-3599 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0651.

John Hoffmann Primary Examiner Art Unit 1731

jmh May 24, 2001 Shalf 5-24-01